BRIEF REPORT

A Survey of Wilderness Medicine Analgesia Practice Patterns

Steven G. Schauer, DO, MS; Jason F. Naylor, PA-C; Derek J. Brown, MD; Robert V. Gibbons, MD; Ian Syndergaard; Tracy Cushing, MD, MPH

From the 59th Medical Wing, JBSA Lackland, Texas (Dr Schauer); the US Army Institute of Surgical Research, JBSA Fort Sam Houston, TX (Drs Schauer and Gibbons); the San Antonio Military Medical Center, JBSA Fort Sam Houston, TX (Drs Schauer and Brown); the 28th Combat Support Hospital, Fort Bragg, NC (Mr Naylor); the Department of Mechanical Engineering, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT (Mr Syndergaard); and the University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, CO (Dr Cushing).

Introduction—In 2014, the Wilderness Medical Society (WMS) published guidelines for the treatment of acute pain in remote settings. We surveyed wilderness medicine providers on self-reported analgesia prescribing practices.

Methods—We conducted a prospective, anonymous survey. Respondents were recruited from the WMS annual symposium in 2016. All willing attendees were included.

Results—During the symposium, we collected a total of 124 surveys (68% response rate). Respondent age was 42 ± 12 (24–79) years (mean $\pm$ SD with range), 58% were male, and 69% reported physician-level training. All respondents had medical training of varying levels. Of the physicians reporting a specialty, emergency medicine (59%, $n=51$), family medicine (13%, $n=11$), and internal medicine (8%, $n=7$) were reported most frequently. Eighty-one (65%) respondents indicated they prefer a standardized pain assessment tool, with the 10-point numerical rating scale being the most common (54%, $n=67$). Most participants reported preferring oral acetaminophen (81%, $n=101$) or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID) (91%, $n=113$). Of those preferring NSAID, most reported administering acetaminophen as an adjunct (82%, $n=101$). Ibuprofen was the most frequently cited NSAID (71%, $n=88$). Of respondents who preferred opioids, the most frequently preferred opioid was oxycodone (26%, $n=32$); a lower proportion of respondents reported preferring oral transmucosal fentanyl citrate (9%, $n=11$). Twenty-five (20%, $n=25$) respondents preferred ketamine.

Conclusions—Wilderness medicine practitioners prefer analgesic agents recommended by the WMS for the treatment of acute pain. Respondents most frequently preferred acetaminophen and NSAIDs.

Keywords: austere, pain

Introduction

BACKGROUND

Pain is the most common complaint encountered in wilderness settings.^{1–5} In 2014, the Wilderness Medical Society (WMS) published clinical practice guidelines for the treatment of acute pain in response to recurring reports of inadequate pain management in the prehospital setting.⁶ Previous reports attribute insufficient analgesia administration in the austere environment to transportation restrictions, medication storage requirements, vascular

access challenges, patient monitoring limitations, limitations due to cold-weather clothing, provider comfort with specific medications, and lack of evidence specific to this setting.^{6–10} Research has found that uncontrolled pain is associated with significant stress responses, deleterious health effects, and psychological disorders.^{6,11,12}

The WMS recommends a tiered approach to pain management.⁶ Initial interventions include comfort care, cryotherapy, compressive bandages, and splints. First-line medication should be the combination of acetaminophen and a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID). Providers may advance to oral formulations of opioids—oxycodone, hydrocodone, or oral transmucosal fentanyl citrate—if moderate or severe pain persists. If these medications are insufficient or the patient is in moderate-to-severe pain, the WMS endorses

Corresponding author: Steven G. Schauer, DO, MS, 3698 Chambers Pass, JBSA Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234; e-mail: steven.g.schauer.mil@mail.mil.

Submitted for publication August 2017.

Accepted for publication January 2018.

intranasal (IN) or intramuscular (IM) administration of opiates, with IN preferred over IM because of the slower and variable absorption rates with IM administration. Finally, intravenous (IV)- or intraosseous-administered opiates, such as morphine and fentanyl, should be considered for moderate-to-severe pain when vascular access can be obtained and the need for repeated dosing is likely. The WMS considers ketamine an advance-tier analgesic agent that is particularly useful for painful, short-duration procedures. Local and regional anesthesia is recommended if the provider is properly trained.

Our survey of pain management practices was designed to assess the current provider preferences for analgesia management practices in the wilderness setting. We describe the practice patterns as reported by providers in this survey study.

Methods

STUDY DESIGN

We conducted an anonymous, voluntary survey assessing the pain practices of wilderness medicine medical personnel. The protocol was submitted to the Colorado Multiple Institutional Review Board. This study was determined to be exempt from Institutional Review Board oversight (COMIRB protocol 16-1259). Surveys were offered to all wilderness medical providers attending the Wilderness Medical Society annual summer symposium in 2016. Incomplete surveys were included if the demographic information was complete to accurately stratify participants into groups. We designed a survey targeted at collecting provider demographics, pain assessment methods, and reported preference of various analgesic agents in the austere, wilderness setting (see [Appendix](#)).

ANALYSIS

We performed all statistical analysis using Microsoft Excel (version 10, Redmond, WA) and JMP Statistical Discovery from SAS (version 13, Cary, NC). Ordinal variables are reported as medians and interquartile ranges. Continuous variables are reported as means and standard deviations. We set significance at $P \leq 0.05$.

Results

During the symposium, we collected 124 completed surveys of the 182 distributed, for a 68% return rate. There were 421 conference attendees in total. The average age of respondents was 42 (± 12 , range 24–79) years, 58% were male, and 69% reported physician-level training ([Table 1](#)). Of the physicians reporting a specialty, 59% were emergency medicine ($n=51$), 13% family medicine ($n=11$), and 8% internal medicine ($n=7$). Of the physician

assistants, most were trained in emergency medicine (18%, $n=2$) or orthopedics (18%, $n=2$). Of the nurse practitioners, 1 was emergency medicine and 1 was family medicine based.

The majority (65%, $n=81$) of respondents indicated they prefer a standardized pain assessment tool, with the 10-point numerical rating scale (NRS) being the most common (54%, $n=67$). Most participants preferred oral NSAIDs and acetaminophen; parenteral agents were infrequently preferred ([Table 2](#)). The most frequently reported NSAID noted was ibuprofen (71%, $n=88$). Most reported using acetaminophen either alone or as an adjunct (82%, $n=101$). Of respondents using opiates, the most frequently noted opioid was oxycodone (26%, $n=32$); a lower proportion of respondents reported preference of oral transmucosal fentanyl citrate (9%, $n=11$). When specifically asked about ketamine, most reported no preference for this agent (80%, $n=99$). Of those who prefer ketamine, the most frequently reported route was IV (76%, $n=19$) and IM (44%, $n=11$).

Discussion

Overall, we found that respondents reported analgesic preferences that are recommended by the WMS. Most participants prefer NSAIDs and/or acetaminophen to treat acute pain, which mirrors the recent guidelines. The frequency of analgesic preferences reported by respondents coincides with the tiered approach to acute pain control recommended by the WMS, with the majority of respondents reporting a preference for NSAIDs.⁶ We must note, however, that we did not survey on the use of pressure, rest, ice, compression,

Table 1. Characteristics of survey participants

<i>Variable</i>	<i>Participant statistics % (n)</i>
Demographics	
Age, y	42 (± 12)
Male	58 (72)
Education	
Physician	69 (86)
Physician assistant	9 (11)
Nurse practitioner	2 (2)
Nurse	3 (4)
Medical student	5 (6)
EMT (all levels)	12 (15)

EMT, emergency medical technician

Table 2. Reported preference of specifically queried analgesic agents

Drug	All responders (n=124)	Advanced provider ^a (n=114)
	% (n)	% (n)
Oral NSAID	91 (113)	90 (103)
Parenteral NSAID	9 (11)	9 (10)
Acetaminophen	81 (101)	81 (92)
Oral opioids	45 (56)	47 (54)
Parenteral morphine	5 (6)	4 (5)
Parenteral hydromorphone	4 (5)	4 (5)
Parenteral fentanyl	9 (11)	10 (11)
Oral transmucosal fentanyl citrate	9 (11)	9 (10)
Parenteral ketamine	14 (17)	14 (16)

NSAID, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug.

^a Advanced provider includes doctor of medicine/doctor of osteopathic medicine, nurse practitioner, physician's assistant, and emergency medical technician; this excludes nonadvanced practice nurses and students.

elevation practices, the first-line therapy noted in the guidelines, before prescription of NSAIDs.

A low proportion of respondents (20%; 25 of 124) reported preferring ketamine. Research studies on acute pain control in the emergency department have reported that low-dose ketamine ($<1 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$) is safe and effective¹³; IN ketamine is as effective as IV ketamine¹⁴; and IN ketamine is as effective as IV and IM morphine.¹⁵ Because wilderness medicine providers may be limited in how much they can carry with them, a multipurpose medication is advantageous. Ketamine is effective for a multitude of painful medical and trauma conditions as well as procedural sedation and airway management.^{16,17} The lower response rate for this analgesia agent is fitting with the escalation of medication pyramid noted in the guidelines.

Most respondents (54%; 67 of 124) reported use of the NRS as a pain assessment tool. Although the visual analog scale is typically used in clinical trials, the NRS is acceptably reliable and valid, and its psychometric properties are similar to those of the visual analog scale.⁹ The WMS guidelines endorse the use of NRS and define moderate pain as 4 to 6 and severe pain as 7 to 10.⁶ The WMS guidelines also note the use of a verbal rating scale that corresponds to the NRS that was most frequently reported.

LIMITATIONS

This study carries several limitations related to both study design and the inherent challenges with survey-

based studies. First, we limited this population to those attending the WMS annual symposium. Although we attempted to capture a broad base of that population, voluntary participation may have provided a limited sample. We did not track how many potential subjects were offered a survey, nor do we have data on how well our sample matched the overall training/experience level of conference attendees. Response bias may be present. Second, we accepted surveys even if they were incomplete and used the data that were provided. Third, we did not assess the providers' escalation of treatment in a stepwise fashion, which may carry limitations as personal algorithms may vary. The ability of providers at different levels to carry some drugs is limited both in licensure and by state, which may have led to challenges in answering questions in such a blanket fashion. We only assessed stated preferences in a single, nonprogressive question—design manner. Respondents may have provided different answers if survey questions occurred in a series of progressive questions (ie, Delphi-like method). Fourth, we only assessed provider-reported preferences and were unable to assess actual use and administration rates. It is possible that providers may have varying preferences and actual use rates, depending on location and travel circumstances. Fifth, we did not assess the circumstances and frequency at which respondents care for patients in the wilderness. Lastly, we did not keep data on how many potential participants were offered a survey and declined. The survey was voluntary and thus may be limited to those with a special interest in wilderness analgesia.

Conclusions

Wilderness medicine practitioners prefer analgesic agents recommended by the WMS for the treatment of acute pain. Respondents most frequently preferred acetaminophen and NSAIDs.

Author Contributions: Protocol development (SGS, DJB, RVG, IS, TC); data collection (DJB, RVG, IS); data analysis/interpretation (SGS, JFN, TC); manuscript development (SGS, JFN, DJB, IS, TC).

Financial/Material Support: None.

Disclosures: Opinions or assertions contained herein are the private views of the authors and are not to be construed as official or as reflecting the views of the Department of the Air Force, the Department of the Army, or the Department of Defense.

Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wem.2018.01.009>.

References

1. Montalvo R, Wingard DL, Bracker M, Davidson TM. Morbidity and mortality in the wilderness. *West J Med.* 1998; 168(4):248–54.
2. Gentile DA, Morris JA, Schimelpfenig T, Bass SM, Auerbach PS. Wilderness injuries and illnesses. *Ann Emerg Med.* 1992;21(7):853–61.
3. McIntosh SE, Leemon D, Visitacion J, Schimelpfenig T, Fosnacht D. Medical incidents and evacuations on wilderness expeditions. *Wilderness Environ Med.* 2007;18(4): 298–304.
4. Borland ML, Rogers IR. Injury and illness in a wilderness multisport endurance event. *Wilderness Environ Med.* 1997;8(2):82–8.
5. Leemon D, Schimelpfenig T. Wilderness injury, illness, and evacuation: National Outdoor Leadership School's incident profiles, 1999–2002. *Wilderness Environ Med.* 2003;14(3):174–82.
6. Russell KW, Scaife CL, Weber DC, Windsor JS, Wheeler AR, Smith WR, et al. Wilderness Medical Society practice guidelines for the treatment of acute pain in remote environments: 2014 update. *Wilderness Environ Med.* 2014;25(suppl 4):S96–104.
7. Wedmore IS, Johnson T, Czarnik J, Hendrix S. Pain management in the wilderness and operational setting. *Emerg Med Clin North Am.* 2005;23(2):585–601, xi–xii.
8. van der Velde J, Linehan L, Cusack S. Helicopter winchmen's experiences with pain management in challenging environments. *Ir Med J.* 2013;106(2):42–4.
9. Todd KH. Pain assessment instruments for use in the emergency department. *Emerg Med Clin North Am.* 2005; 23(2):285–95.
10. Dachs R. Eleven common myths about pain control. *Emedmag.* 2001:19–25.
11. Buckenmaier CC. Interview: 21st century battlefield pain management. *Pain Manag.* 2013;3(4):269–75.
12. Malchow RJ, Black IH. The evolution of pain management in the critically ill trauma patient: emerging concepts from the global war on terrorism. *Crit Care Med.* 2008;36 (suppl 7):S346–57.
13. Pourmand A, Mazer-Amirshahi M, Royall C, Alhawas R, Shesser R. Low dose ketamine use in the emergency department, a new direction in pain management. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2017;35(6):918–21.
14. Parvizrad R, Pakniyat A, Malekianzadeh B, Almasi-Hashiani A. Comparing the analgesic effect of intranasal with intravenous ketamine in isolated orthopedic trauma: a randomized clinical trial. *Turk J Emerg Med.* 2017;17(3):99–103.
15. Shimonovich S, Gigi R, Shapira A, Sarig-Meth T, Nadav D, Rozenek M, et al. Intranasal ketamine for acute traumatic pain in the Emergency Department: a prospective, randomized clinical trial of efficacy and safety. *BMC Emerg Med.* 2016;16(1):43.
16. Gao M, Rejaei D, Liu H. Ketamine use in current clinical practice. *Acta Pharmacol Sin.* 2016;37(7):865–72.
17. Rech MA, Barbas B, Chaney W, Greenhalgh E, Turck C. When to pick the nose: out-of-hospital and emergency department intranasal administration of medications. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2017;70(2):203–11.